



## LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: KIPP Navigate College Prep

CDS Code: 43 77149 0137315

School Year: 2023-24

LEA contact information:

Julia Gonzalez Luna

KIPP Navigate College Prep School Leader

opsadmin@kippnorcal.org

510-465-5477

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

## Budget Overview for the 2023-24 School Year

This chart shows the total general purpose revenue KIPP Navigate College Prep expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for KIPP Navigate College Prep is \$7,964,145, of which \$4,954,320 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), \$462,578 is other state funds, \$2,209,320 is local funds, and \$337,927 is federal funds. Of the \$4,954,320 in LCFF Funds, \$1,256,852 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).

## LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.

This chart provides a quick summary of how much KIPP Navigate College Prep plans to spend for 2023-24. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: KIPP Navigate College Prep plans to spend \$6,827,116 for the 2023-24 school year. Of that amount, \$4,757,125 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and \$2,069,991 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:

### **Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2023-24 School Year**

In 2023-24, KIPP Navigate College Prep is projecting it will receive \$1,256,852 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. KIPP Navigate College Prep must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. KIPP Navigate College Prep plans to spend \$1,245,002 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

## LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

### Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2022-23

This chart compares what KIPP Navigate College Prep budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what KIPP Navigate College Prep estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2022-23, KIPP Navigate College Prep's LCAP budgeted \$1,044,645 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. KIPP Navigate College Prep actually spent \$1,493,384 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2022-23.



## Local Control Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
KIPP Navigate College Prep	Julia Gonzalez Luna KIPP Navigate College Prep School Leader	opsadmin@kippnorcal.org 510-465-5477

## Plan Summary [2023-24]

### General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

KIPP is a free, open-enrollment, public charter school. Our mission at KIPP is to prepare all students with the academic skills, knowledge, and strength of character to succeed in college and in the competitive world beyond. Through a rigorous college-preparatory curriculum that emphasizes critical thinking, analytical writing, and public speaking, students will be empowered to take ownership of their own education and achieve success not only as students but also as active citizens engaged in their communities.

### Reflections: Successes

A description of successes and/or progress based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

School leader reflection still in development while in consultation with staff, students and families. Full reflection will be available in the final LCAP presented to the KIPP Board in June.

# DRAFT

## Reflections: Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

School leader reflection still in development while in consultation with staff, students and families. Full reflection will be available in the final LCAP presented to the KIPP Board in June.

## LCAP Highlights

A brief overview of the LCAP, including any key features that should be emphasized.

The LCAP incorporates multiple inputs, across multiple regional teams, in collaboration with school leadership, to inform the annual plan. Unique highlights included in this school year's planning represent a strong focus on academic learning recovery, and safe, healthy, joyful school environments.

The school participated in a Strong Start Visit / Observation - a partnership between the regional teaching and learning team and school leadership team. The vision for Strong Start was to build strong relationships through consistent support, to get a better sense of the school and its unique strengths and challenges, to share context and best practices across KIPP schools in Northern California, to align regional supports to most effectively and equitably serve the school, and to support the school leader in their journey to building a more healing centered and culturally responsive environment on campus.

The school also participated in a full scale, in-depth and improvement focused School Safety and Facilities Walkthrough. The vision for the School Safety and Facilities Walkthrough was to identify the highest priority updates to the school's infrastructure, safety plan and resources, as well as identify opportunities for any capital investments that may be needed on campus in the next 1-5 years.

## Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

### ***Schools Identified***

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

None

# DRAFT

## ***Support for Identified Schools***

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

N/A

## ***Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness***

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

N/A

# DRAFT

## Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners and how this engagement was considered before finalizing the LCAP.

**Belief:** KIPP operates under the premise that teachers, families, and students must work together as partners to create a quality education. Staff members who choose to work at KIPP commit to doing whatever it takes to ensure that students succeed. By making a choice and commitment to be part of the school community, students, families, and staff at KIPP play an integral role in the school's success. School Community Engagement: This year's Local Control and Accountability planning process allowed for engagement in meaningful ways - refining and reflecting on the goals and actionable steps to improve outcomes for students. Families and staff were invited to attend multiple events with the School Leader where feedback and input was captured, including: a scheduled LCAP meeting, Coffee with the School Leader, public School Site Council Meetings, KIPP Family Association Meetings, and the public English Language Advisory Committee Meetings. An interpreter was present at these meetings and translated materials were made available in the school's most common languages to create equitable engagement. These meeting topics included but were not limited to: the CA State Dashboard and LCAP alignment, the state's priorities, and the specific goals for the school and the actionable steps to achieve those goals. The school gathered input during meetings through small group exercises where families brainstormed together and shared feedback with the community and school leadership. Additionally, the LCAP survey (all families, teachers, students, local community), the School Culture survey (families), The New Teacher Project survey (teachers), summarized Empathy Interview feedback (families of students with IEPs), and regularly administered Pulse surveys (students) were used to collect feedback. The School Leader has considered this collective feedback in the annual LCAP drafting process and a response to the feedback will be shared with families prior to the final board approval. As a charter, KIPP is not required to engage, nor is it currently applicable, to consult with any local bargaining units of the LEA. Regional Engagement: The region actively engaged with the community and school leadership to best capture the meaningful school-level work that happens each day. This year the school continued their collaboration and consultation with various departments at the regional level to help inform goals, metrics and outcomes including but not limited to: Operations, Facilities, Data, Human Resources, Finance, Special Education (including the SELPA) and Academics. The Data Team worked to analyze years of data showing trends about family engagement, school climate, and student achievement. The Data team continued to support robust tools for tracking student progress and determining meaningful interventions as students and families recover from the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. These tools included attendance data, grading data, assignment data and school culture survey data to help the school better understand a student's holistic experience. Engagement Accessibility: School level LCAP planning and engagement began in December in preparation for the updated 2021-22 CA Dashboard and included updated presentation materials that allowed families to engage more equitably (multiple languages, diverse engagement styles and practices, etc.). There was additional Advocacy and Community Engagement (ACE Team) support at meetings and events to answer questions and engage in these important discussions. This involvement and availability strengthened trust and accountability between the KIPP organization, the school, and the community. KIPP has taken steps to make governance structures more accessible to families. The drafted LCAP is made available for a public hearing in advance of the final June board meeting. Virtual teleconferencing is available for all of KIPP's public board meetings, and parents are notified in compliance with the Brown Act. Translation services are also available upon request. All KIPP board meeting agendas and minutes are available online at <https://kippnorcal.org/kipp-board-of-directors/>.

# DRAFT

A summary of the feedback provided by specific educational partners.

Students, teachers, families (school community): The collective information shared during the annual LCAP process was used to reflect on the school's priorities. The feedback indicated that KIPP's mission continues to be in line with the goals of the school community. The ideas and preferences in parent/guardian, student and staff surveys were analyzed and used to influence the school's LCAP. The results of the surveys along with feedback that we received during engagement/discussion indicated that we needed to continue to focus on the following:

More 1 on 1 support from teachers for all students and Multilingual Learners to improve academic outcomes: This aligns with the "more time" model that KIPP provides students and families. KIPP will continue to support teachers in this level of student learning and engagement. Professional development, including weekly data driven initiative meetings and manager observation, will be provided that helps teachers identify and support the differentiated needs of students.

Stronger student relationships between teachers/staff and students that are facing homelessness and or are in foster care: KIPP will continue to provide email and phone numbers for regular access to teaching staff for student academic support. Teachers are available before and after school for additional support due to the "more time" KIPP model. The mental health clinician role will support any mental health challenges that students facing homelessness and students in foster care may be experiencing.

Mental health for all: The pandemic put an unprecedented strain on KIPP's most critical resource - teachers and staff who lead learning for KIPP students. As a response to continued feedback for more holistic services across students and staff, KIPP will be continuing the services to support the mental health wellbeing for school and regional staff. This initiative is supported by a partnership between Lyra, Seneca and Mindshare, providing community focused, evidence-based, accessible mental health services for KIPP staff and KIPP staff partners/families.

The review of the effectiveness of the LCAP action/service dedicated to Expanded Learning Opportunity Program (ELO-P) funding has provided the school with important input that will increase and improve services for students seeking additional educational opportunities during the regular school week(s), and during intersession (summer, breaks, professional development, etc.). Due to the number of students that qualify as high needs (i.e., needing free or reduced price meals, multilingual learners, struggling with homelessness, living in foster care, ect.), KIPP will be providing extended services to all students in grades TK-6. Program expansion also includes increased program options (varied programming and activities during regular days and during intersession), and increased staffing and leadership (ELO-P Director) to develop an improved program that is responsive to community needs and can develop thoughtful partnerships. Community feedback has indicated a need for increased communication and details regarding the program, which will be led by the school's new ELO-P Director. This increased and improved communication will include but not be limited to: family interest surveys, engagement at family meetings (KIPP Family Association, Coffee with the Principal, Family Leadership Council, etc.), newsletters and ParentSquare messages/updates regarding ELO-P. This feedback and revised action has been included in Goal 3: all students will have the spaces, resources and opportunities to achieve.

A description of the aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by specific input from educational partners.

The updated LCAP was influenced by KIPP's educational partners through the following actions/services.



Given the critical impact on student success, KIPP continues to engage families regarding attendance improvement. Results from family feedback indicate that communication about attendance expectations and stronger relationships between teachers and students may improve attendance. KIPP will launch strong start-of-school attendance expectation sessions with families (in-person and virtual), as well as consistently update families about changing health and safety regulations that impact attendance, as well as schedule more intervention meetings directly with families. KIPP will also be launching more supports associated with the Learning Communities for School Success Program (LCSSP) grant. These include the expanded services of the LCSSP Operations Manager, who is implementing improved resources for intervention meetings based on feedback and the changing needs of families (physical resources, mental health, etc.), the hiring and training of an LCSSP School Culture Manager to lead school climate initiatives that improve attendance, and the hiring and training of a Registered Nurse that will support health initiatives and services that improve attendance. Attendance initiatives are aligned with KIPP's action/service that includes staff members who hold these important attendance roles at the school and the staff who offer supplemental support at the region (goal 2, all students and families will be engaged with the school community - improve attendance).

Behavior of students (between peers and teachers) while on campus continues to be a theme for families. Results from family feedback indicate that families felt that the best way to reduce suspensions would be increased support from counselors and integrating character development into lessons. KIPP is implementing year two (2) of the Culturally Responsive Teaching (CRT) framework, which draws from research in multiple fields to suggest movement from lower-order to higher-order skills. It provides a rigorous perspective on what it means to holistically teach, support, develop and address the social, emotional, motivational and cognitive skills in every student. In each classroom this looks like: Staff actions that are joyful and reinforce authentic student joy when it arises; Responses to student misbehavior through an asset-based lens that treats misbehavior as an opportunity to strengthen a skill, rather than as one that should either be ignored, or that requires a punitive / exclusionary response; Time built into routines for staff to take a breath, address their own needs, and engage in restorative interactions with students and each other to close the loop when necessary; and Engagement with students socially (goal 1, all students will achieve - professional development for teachers and goal 2: all students and families will be engaged with the school community - improving school climate).

KIPP will continue leveraging effective family communications and notifications through the ParentSquare system. As of the current 2022-23 school year, 90% of families have a secure account where they can receive messages that may contain specific student data or information, as well as more sensitive communications. The school aims to increase this to 100% of families as they continue to promote, encourage and walk families through the process of creating secure accounts. All enrolled families currently have access to the basic features of ParentSquare which allow for text messaging, general communications and emergency notifications. This initiative is aligned with KIPP's action/service that includes strong communication resources, including 2-way communication and more access to teachers (goal 2, all students and families will be engaged with the school community - communication resources).

As stated in the “summary of feedback provided by educational partners”: The request for more 1:1 time between teachers and students, including KIPP students with the identified highest-needs. This is aligned with KIPP's actions/services that include “more time” (goal 2, all students and families will be engaged with the school community - communication resources and goal 3, all students will have the spaces, resources and opportunities to achieve - more teacher time).

# DRAFT

As stated in the “summary of feedback provided by educational partners”: The identified need for continued staff mental health support is aligned with KIPP’s actions/services “mental health for all” (goal 3, all students will have the materials, spaces and opportunities to achieve; mental health for all).

Feedback is shared and incorporated regularly at KIPP. These above-listed actions/services were most evident based on the current year's engagement with families during the LCAP process and other family and school community events.

# DRAFT

## Goals and Actions

### Goal

Goal #	Description
1	All students will achieve academically.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Broad goal, continuous improvement of pupil outcomes.

To increase the rate of students who meet or exceed state standards in English language arts on the SBAC assessment.

To increase the rate of students who meet or exceed state standards in mathematics on the SBAC assessment.

To increase the rate of students who meet or exceed state standards in science on the CAST assessment.

To increase the number of students making annual progress in English language learning.

\*Metrics below were not yet fully measurable given the timing of data collection and the requirements for LCAP completion. LCAP metrics below instead reflect prior year data reporting in the designated year to capture a more complete annual metric, including SBAC ELA, SBAC Math, CAST Science, English learner reclassification and English learner progress.

### Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
SBAC ELA: State testing results for English Language Arts.	No data to report, school will not be serving a grade level that participates in state testing until the current 20-21 school year. Results will be updated and reported in the 21-22 LCAP.	20-21 English language arts results for all students: 64% proficient; the district did not administer the SBAC and therefore there is no comparable student level data  20-21 English language arts results	21-22 English language arts results for all students: 56% proficient, 63% ESUHSD results  21-22 English language arts results for students qualifying as low-income students: 44%		Student ELA SBAC performance meets or exceeds the performance of the district in which it resides.  Distance from Standard: greater than or equal to 30pts.

# DRAFT

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
		<p>for all students qualifying as low-income: 65% proficient; the district did not administer the SBAC and therefore there is no comparable student level data</p> <p>20-21 English language arts results for multilingual learners: 9% proficient; the district did not administer the SBAC and therefore there is no comparable student level data</p> <p>20-21 English language arts results for students with disabilities: NA% proficient; the district did not administer the SBAC and therefore there is no comparable student level data</p>	<p>proficient, 48% ESUHSD results</p> <p>21-22 English language arts results for multilingual language learners: 6% proficient, 11% ESUHSD results</p> <p>21-22 English results for students with disabilities: NA% proficient, 11% ESUHSD results</p>		
SBAC Math:	No data to report, school will not be serving a grade level	20-21 Math results for all students: 34% proficient; the district	21-22 Math results for all students: 38%		Student Math SBAC performance meets or exceeds the

# DRAFT

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
<p>State testing results for Mathematics.</p>	<p>that participates in state testing until the current 20-21 school year. Results will be updated and reported in the 21-22 LCAP.</p>	<p>did not administer the SBAC and therefore there is no comparable student level data</p> <p>20-21 Math results for all students qualifying as low-income: 34% proficient; the district did not administer the SBAC and therefore there is no comparable student level data</p> <p>20-21 Math results for multilingual learners: NA% proficient; the district did not administer the SBAC and therefore there is no comparable student level data</p> <p>20-21 Math results for students with disabilities: NA% proficient; the district did not administer the SBAC and therefore there is no comparable student level data</p>	<p>proficient, 38% ESUHSD results</p> <p>21-22 Math results for students qualifying as low-income students: 27% proficient, 21% ESUHSD results</p> <p>21-22 Math results for multilingual language learners: 0% proficient, 6% ESUHSD results</p> <p>21-22 Math results for students with disabilities: NA% proficient, 3% ESUHSD results</p>		<p>performance of the district in which it resides.</p> <p>Distance from Standard: greater than or equal to 0pts.</p>

# DRAFT

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
<p>CAST Science: State testing for Science.</p>	<p>No data to report, school will not be serving a grade level that participates in state testing until the 20-21 school year.</p>	<p>CAST administration was not a viable option in the 20-21 school year and LEAs were not required to provide a local option due to state and federal flexibilities as a result of the COVID pandemic</p>	<p>21-22 CAST results for all students: 39% proficient</p> <p>21-22 CAST results for students qualifying as low-income students: 37% proficient</p> <p>21-22 CAST results for multilingual language learners: 0% proficient</p> <p>21-22 CAST results for students with disabilities: 5% proficient</p>		<p>The percentage of students meeting or exceeding CAST results has increased 3% or 70% of our students are proficient.</p>
<p>Multilingual Learner Reclassification Rate:</p> <p>The rate at which students who are classified as Multilingual Learners become proficient in the English Language, as measured by annual state and local assessments.</p>	<p>23% of students were reclassified as English proficient in the 18-19 school year.</p> <p>100% of students tested were reclassified in the 19-20 school year. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, only 6% of total ELL students were tested.</p>	<p>7% of students were reclassified in the 21-22 school year.</p>	<p>7% of students were reclassified as English proficient in the 22-23 school year.</p>		<p>20% of Multilingual language learners will be reclassified annually.</p>

# DRAFT

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
<p>English Learner Progress Indicator (ELPI):</p> <p>The rate at which English learners make progress toward English language proficiency.</p>	<p>69% of multilingual language learners made progress towards achieving English language proficiency.</p>	<p>There was no ELPI scores available for the 20-21 and 21-22 school year due to the COVID pandemic.</p>	<p>54% Multilingual learners were making progress towards English proficiency in the 22-23 school year.</p>		<p>50% of multilingual language learners will be making progress towards achieving English language proficiency.</p>
<p>Advanced Placement Exams (Participation Rate):</p> <p>College-level curriculum and examinations.</p> <p>The rate of graduating seniors that have participated in taking at least 1 AP exam.</p>	<p>No data to report for the 19-20 school year. Results will be updated and reported in the LCAP when available.</p>	<p>No data to report for the 20-21 school year. Results will be updated and reported in the LCAP when available.</p>	<p>99% or greater of students participated in AP classes and took at least one exam in the 21-22 school year.</p>		<p>80% or greater of 12th grade students participate in AP classes and take an AP exam.</p>
<p>Advanced Placement Exams (Pass Rate):</p> <p>50% or greater of 12th grade students participating in AP testing receive scores of three (3) or better on at least one AP exam.</p>	<p>No data to report for 19-20 school year. Results will be updated and reported in the LCAP when available.</p>	<p>No data to report for 20-21 school year. Results will be updated and reported in the LCAP when available.</p>	<p>63% of students participating in AP testing scored a three (3) or better on at least one AP exam in the 21-22 school year.</p>		<p>50% or greater, of students participating in AP testing receive scores of three (3) or better on at least one AP exam in the 19-20 school year.</p>

# DRAFT

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
College Career Indicator:  The rate at which schools are preparing students for success after graduation, as measured on the California Dashboard.	No data to report, school does not currently have a college career indicator metric in the CA Dashboard. Results will be updated and reported in the LCAP when available.	The College Career Indicator was not calculated and/or released on the CA Dashboard in the 20-21 or 21-22 school year due to the COVID pandemic	The College Career Indicator was not calculated and/or released on the CA Dashboard in the 21-22 school year due to the COVID pandemic		55% of students, or greater, are prepared for success after graduation, as measured on the California Dashboard in the 19-20 school year.

## Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.1	Support for Multilingual Learners:	<p>A KIPP administrator will be trained to support the success of multilingual learner students in meeting CA state standards, and to administer the ELPAC exam and other interim assessments in order to assess student progress in attaining proficiency in the English language. KIPP teachers will be trained to use techniques and tools that maximize learning for multilingual learners.</p> <p>This prior LCAP action will remain in the current LCAP due to a continued focus on improving academic outcomes for our multilingual learners, implementation of CA English Learner Roadmap, and the immediate need to mitigate any learning loss due to the COVID pandemic. Providing these additional, targeted supports for multilingual students in order to attain higher academic achievement contributes to improving services for the school's unduplicated student population.</p>	\$83,580.10	Yes



# DRAFT

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.2	Special education:	<p>KIPP offers an extensive special education program run by the Regional Support Office in partnership with the El Dorado County Charter SELPA. Our special education department offers interventions for students with IEPs. Services and interventions include specialized academic instruction, language and speech, adapted physical education, occupational therapy, counseling and psychological services, and behavior intervention services. Students with mild to moderate disabilities receive their services as a mix of push-in and pull-out support. Students with moderate to severe disabilities receive their services primarily in a small group, self-contained classroom with targeted mainstreaming appropriate to the student's individualized program. The program maintains a small student-to-staff ratio and uses a separate curriculum based on modified standards, CAPTAIN evidence-based practices, and community-based instruction.</p> <p>This prior LCAP action will remain in the current LCAP due to KIPP's continued commitment to improving outcomes for our students with special educational needs.</p>	\$451,322.37	No
1.3	Innovation and personalized learning:	<p>KIPP values innovation in the classroom and strives to find new ways to teach our students with diverse needs. Through personalized learning and assessments we can track individual student progress and set high achievement expectations. This individualized process and reinforcement of high achievement improves student outcomes, engagement and attendance.</p> <p>This prior LCAP action will remain in the current LCAP because KIPP has seen progress with this individualized approach to student learning, especially during the COVID pandemic. KIPP will also continue to leverage these tools for positive engagement and attendance messaging.</p>	\$59,060.53	No

# DRAFT

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.4	Professional development:	<p>KIPP provides professional development each year for all teachers, based on individual goals, school goals, and the needs of the students based on recent and relevant data. KIPP teachers and staff will receive professional development as it relates to the new Common Core State Standards so teachers can successfully implement them into their classrooms and support students at all levels.</p> <p>This prior LCAP action will be continued in the current LCAP because KIPP believes that professional development is an essential component of a comprehensive system of teaching and learning that supports students to develop the knowledge, skills, and competencies they need to thrive. In review of KIPP's most recent state testing data (20-21), our socio-economically disadvantaged students were performing slightly lower than “all students” at the school. This support will be critical in mitigating COVID pandemic learning loss that has disproportionately impacted our most vulnerable students. We believe that this targeted professional development will benefit all students in implementation, but the action is intended to improve outcomes for our highest-need students.</p> <p>Given the total number of fully dedicated professional development days (10+) are significantly higher than our district and our approach is focused on our highest-need students, this action will contribute to improved academic achievement and engagement for our unduplicated students.</p>	\$119,154.90	Yes
1.5	Title III: ML coach and supplemental professional development:	<p>KIPP will use title III funds to support the salary of a title III consortium lead Multilingual Learner (ML) instructional coach. The duties of this position will include the implementation of supplemental programming; training and facilitation of data meetings where teachers, APs, and instructional coaches review student data to drive instructional decisions and the effective implementation of ML instructional strategies; targeted coaching and feedback to schools through classroom observation; and identification of supplemental regional</p>	\$10,383.00	Yes

# DRAFT

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		<p>curricula and educational technology designed to improve outcomes for ML students.</p> <p>This will be on ongoing action in the LCAP. Providing these additional, targeted supports for multilingual students in order to attain higher academic achievement contributes to improving services for the school's unduplicated population.</p>		
1.6	Academic data visualization and analysis:	<p>The Data team will provide data tools and resources that enable school staff to regularly review critical data, including grades, assignment completion, testing results, culture data, and attendance. In the 21-22 school year, unduplicated students have met more thresholds for intervention than all other students. Data analysis and visualization tools will help school teams better understand a student's holistic experience and identify early and meaningful interventions.</p> <p>This will be on ongoing action in the LCAP due to the continued focus on mitigating learning loss for the most vulnerable students and will serve to increase and improve the services to the schools unduplicated student population.</p>	\$37,713.22	Yes
1.7			\$0.00	Yes

## Goal Analysis [2022-23]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The planned actions and services included in Goal 1: all students will achieve, have been implemented or partially implemented in year two (2) of the three (3) year LCAP.

# DRAFT

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no material differences between the budgeted expenditures and the estimated actual expenditures and/or planned percentages of improved services and estimated actual percentages of improved services.

\*Material difference defined as 1% or more of the school's total operating budget.

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

School leader is currently in consultation with school community (staff, students, families, etc.) and will provide a full reflection to the public and KIPP Board at the June board meeting.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

There have been no substantive changes to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes or actions at this time. KIPP will continue to seek feedback from all educational partners as we draft the final LCAP for the 2023-24 school year.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# DRAFT

## Goals and Actions

### Goal

Goal #	Description
2	All students and families will be engaged with the school community.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Broad goal, continuous improvement of student, family and school engagement.

To increase parent engagement by sustaining or improving the number of opportunities for parental involvement.

To increase school attendance rates and reduce chronic absenteeism rates.

To keep students engaged and excited about school, increasing graduation rates, and decreasing suspensions and expulsions.

To increase staff, student and family satisfaction with the school's climate.

\*Some metrics below were not yet fully measurable given the timing of data collection and the requirements for LCAP completion. Select LCAP metrics below instead reflect prior year data reporting in the designated year to capture a more complete annual metric, including chronic absenteeism, suspensions, expulsions, and drop-out rates.

### Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Family Engagement Opportunities: Number of scheduled events in the school year where families and/or the community are invited to participate.	The school held 25 scheduled events in the 20-21 school year where parents and/or community are invited to participate.	The school held 10+ scheduled events in the 21-22 school year where parents and/or community are invited to participate.	The school held 20+ scheduled events in the 21-22 school year where parents and/or community are invited to participate.		KIPP will host ten (10) events or more a year where families and/or the community are invited to attend and participate.
Family Engagement Satisfaction:	87% of KIPP families were satisfied with the	85% of KIPP families were satisfied with the	68% of KIPP families were satisfied with the		75% of KIPP families are satisfied with the

# DRAFT

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Annual school culture survey results where families share their satisfaction level with the available opportunities for involvement at the school.	parent participation opportunities available at the school in the 20-21 school year.	parent participation opportunities available at the school in the 21-22 school year.	parent participation opportunities available at the school in the 22-23 school year.		parent participation opportunities available at the school.
<p>Student Average Daily Attendance:</p> <p>The average percent of students attending school daily.</p>	KIPP reported 95% average daily attendance at P2 in the 21-22 school year.	<p>KIPP reported 92% average daily attendance at P2 in the 21-22 school year for all students.</p> <p>KIPP reported 92% average daily attendance at P2 in the 21-22 school year for students qualifying as low income.</p> <p>KIPP reported 91% average daily attendance at P2 in the 21-22 school year for multilingual learners.</p> <p>KIPP reported 86% average daily attendance at P2 in the 21-22 school year for students with disabilities.</p>	KIPP will be reporting P2 attendance in the spring to report on the final LCAP.		95% average daily attendance or higher in the P2 reporting period.

# DRAFT

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
<p>Student Chronic Absenteeism:</p> <p>Percent of students missing 10% or more of the enrolled school year. 19-20 baseline data has been used to set 3 year goals as it is most representative of a traditional school year. 20-21 data is being shared for reference only.</p>	<p>SY: 19-20 KIPP was tracking at 7% chronic absenteeism rate for all students</p> <p>KIPP was tracking at 8% chronic absenteeism rate for students qualifying as low income students</p> <p>KIPP was tracking at 16% chronic absenteeism rate for students with disabilities</p> <p>SY: 20-21 KIPP was tracking at 14% chronic absenteeism rate in the 20-21 school year for all students</p> <p>KIPP was tracking at 16% chronic absenteeism rate in the 20-21 school year for students qualifying as low income students</p> <p>KIPP was tracking at 38% chronic absenteeism rate in the 20-21 school year</p>	<p>SY: 21-22</p> <p>KIPP was tracking at 24% chronic absenteeism rate in the 21-22 school year for all students</p> <p>KIPP was tracking at 27% chronic absenteeism rate in the 21-22 school year for students qualifying as low income</p> <p>KIPP was tracking at 33% chronic absenteeism rate in the 21-22 school year for multilingual learners</p> <p>KIPP was tracking at 40% chronic absenteeism rate in the 21-22 school year for students with disabilities</p>	<p>SY: 22-23</p> <p>KIPP is tracking at 18% chronic absenteeism rate in the current school year for all students</p> <p>KIPP is tracking at 19% chronic absenteeism rate in the current school year for students qualifying as low income</p> <p>KIPP is tracking at 16% chronic absenteeism rate in the current school year for multilingual learners</p> <p>KIPP is tracking at 24% chronic absenteeism rate in the current school year for students with disabilities</p>		<p>chronic absence rate = 5%</p>

# DRAFT

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
	<p>for students with disabilities</p> <p>KIPP was tracking at 28% chronic absenteeism rate in the 20-21 school year for multilingual learners</p>				
<p>Student Suspensions:</p> <p>The percent of students (count) that have been suspended from school.</p>	<p>KIPP's suspension rate for all students in the 18-19 school year was 7.1%</p> <p>KIPP's suspension rate for low-income students in the 18-19 school year was 8%</p> <p>KIPP's suspension rate for students with disabilities in the 18-19 school year was 26.7%</p>	<p>KIPP does not have suspension data for the 20-21 school year. Suspensions were not reported due to the transition to distance learning.</p>	<p>KIPP's suspension rate for all students in the 21-22 school year was 7%</p> <p>KIPP's suspension rate for low-income students in the 21-22 school year was 11%</p> <p>KIPP's suspension rate for students with disabilities in the 21-22 school year was 17%KIPP's suspension rate for all students in the 22-23 school year is tracking at 8%.</p>		<p>student suspension rate less than or equal to 1.5%</p>
<p>Student Expulsions:</p> <p>The percent of students that have</p>	<p>KIPP's 18-19 expulsion rate was 0%.</p>	<p>KIPP's 20-21 expulsion rate was 0%.</p>	<p>KIPP's 21-22 expulsion rate was 0%.</p>		<p>1% or lower expulsion rate.</p>



# DRAFT

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
been expelled from school.					
Drop Out Rate: 12th grade students who dis-enroll and do not re-enroll in another public, private or alternative program or school divided by the expected graduation cohort.	Not applicable, school has not served a full cohort of students eligible for graduation.	KIPP's 20-21 drop out rate was 0%.	KIPP's 21-22 drop out rate was 0%.		2% or lower drop out rate.
School Safety: Annual school culture survey results: teachers and students share their perception of student's "emotional safety" at school. Goal setting for 3 year outcomes will be based on 19-20 data since it best represents a traditional school year with students on campus. 20-21 survey results on student emotional safety are shared for reference only. During the 20-21	SY 19-20: 46% of students and 38% of staff responded positively when asked about "students feeling/being safe at school" on the 19-20 school survey.  Question not surveyed in 20-21 due to COVID-19 pandemic and students participating in distance learning.  SY 20-21 (during distance learning:	SY21-22: 57% of students surveyed responded positively in feeling a sense of emotional safety with school staff and peers.  39% of staff responded positively when asked about "having the resources to appropriately support our students' emotional and behavioral needs".	SY22-23: 46% of students surveyed responded positively in feeling a sense of emotional safety with school staff and peers.  50% of staff responded positively when asked about "having the resources to appropriately support our students' emotional and behavioral needs"		70% of students and 70% of staff responded positively when asked about "students feeling/being safe at school" on an annual survey.

# DRAFT

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
distance learning year, the metric was updated to better reflect the needs of the students and their emotional wellbeing and safety at school.	72% of students surveyed responded positively to feeling a sense of belonging with school staff and peers.				
School Graduation Rate:  Combined four and five-year graduation rate includes students who graduated in four-years or five-years with a traditional high school diploma in the selected academic year.	No data to report, school will not be graduating students until the 21-22 school year.	No data to report, school will not be graduating students until the 21-22 school year.	92.6% of overall students graduated in the 21-22 school year.  92% of students reported as low-income graduated in the 21-22 school year.  77% of students with IEPs graduated in the 21-22 school year.  81% of multilingual learner students graduated in the 21-22 school year.		90% or greater of students graduate with a high school diploma.
The School Family Culture Index represents questions from the Family School Culture Survey that make up the family school culture experience. The index	In the 20-21 school year, 77% of surveyed KIPP families have had a positive experience with the school.	In the 21-22 school year, 79% of surveyed KIPP families have had a positive experience with the school.	In the 22-23 school year, 65% of surveyed KIPP families have had a positive experience with the school.		85%+ of families have a positive experience with the school

# DRAFT

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
<p>considers the percent of positive family responses across the following questions:</p> <p>The teachers have built strong relationships with my child.</p> <p>The school has a positive impact on my child’s academic performance.</p> <p>How fairly is your child treated by staff?</p> <p>How stressed does your child feel about experiences related to school?</p> <p>How receptive is your school to parent feedback?</p> <p>I would recommend KIPP to other families.</p>		In the 21-22 school year, 84% of surveyed KIPP families indicated that "the teachers have built strong relationships with my child".	In the 22-23 school year, 71% of surveyed KIPP families indicated that "the teachers have built strong relationships with my child".		

## Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.1	Parental involvement and engagement:	KIPP will encourage parents to be active members of the school by providing multiple opportunities for participation (i.e., KIPP Family Association, LCAP meetings, student productions to showcase talent). Efforts are made to support and encourage our families with the highest need to attend and participate, including varied event times	\$56,716.05	Yes

# DRAFT

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		<p>and opportunities, translated materials, and an Advocacy and Community Engagement (ACE) staff onsite for events.</p> <p>The Advocacy and Community Engagement team will works to disrupt racial and socioeconomic inequalities in educational access and outcomes by fostering an inclusive culture of family engagement, building a support network of community based organizations and empowering families to be advocates for their children and communities. The school's dedicated ACE team member provides focused coaching and feedback, collaborates on community outreach, and strategies to increase parent participation.</p> <p>This prior LCAP action will be continued in the current LCAP due to an effort to increase the number and improve the quality of family events, as well as promote continued advocacy of our students and families.</p>		
2.2	Improve attendance:	<p>A staff member at the school has been selected and funded to increase regular attendance through positive, "parents as partners" initiatives. Live, personal, daily phone calls will be made by this staff member to the family of any student who is not in attendance, contributing to a feeling of belonging at school. Personalized mailed communications will be sent regularly to families in home languages to encourage improved attendance. This team member will schedule intervention meetings between school staff and families to actively problem solve attendance concerns. With a high level of unduplicated students enrolled, many of our families face additional challenges in getting to school regularly, including transportation, housing insecurity, and social-emotional issues. A non-punitive, personal touchpoint is intended to build strong relationships that allow us to learn more, and ultimately improve attendance with families at highest risk of falling behind or disengaging.</p> <p>This prior LCAP action will be continued in the current LCAP due to positive trends with this initiative in the 19-20 school year, prior to the COVID pandemic. More than ever, regular attendance will be critical to</p>	\$13,554.79	Yes

# DRAFT

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		<p>mitigating learning loss resulting from missed in-person learning opportunities in the 20-21 school year. Socio-economically disadvantaged students are more likely to drop out by high school age with multiple years of chronic absence behavior. KIPP's rate of chronic absence is slightly higher for our socio-economically disadvantaged students than all students. These additional supports are intended to improve attendance for our highest needs students, and with this focus we anticipate improved attendance across all students.</p> <p>The increased opportunities and personal connections/touch points with families contribute to increasing services for the school's high unduplicated student population.</p>		
2.3	Communication resources:	<p>As a continued commitment to the "more time" model that supports struggling students, communication between teachers and students/families will be encouraged by issuing work cell phones to KIPP staff. Students and families also have access to teachers through email and ParentSquare. Calls and other contact outside of the regular school day allow students/families to get the additional support needed to make progress on coursework and help build meaningful relationships between staff and families.</p> <p>This prior LCAP action will be continued in the current LCAP because it is aligned with our "more time" KIPP model. Expanded learning time initiatives have demonstrated great promise in improving academic outcomes among students who are most likely to fall behind. This additional and focused support will help struggling students engage more fully and reduce the possibility of dropping out.</p> <p>Opportunities for extended time with students and families contribute to increasing services for the school's unduplicated student population.</p>	\$27,071.51	Yes

# DRAFT

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.4	Improving school climate:	<p>KIPP staff will support restorative practices and social-emotional learning at our schools. Students who attend KIPP may face challenges including food scarcity, shared living spaces, limited access to essential resources and sometimes even severe trauma. Supporting a restorative approach to behavioral interventions and leveraging strong social-emotional instruction will ensure we are working with our students and families to develop trust, empathy and strong relationships that lead to better outcomes for students.</p> <p>This prior LCAP action will be continued in the current LCAP due to a continued focus on improving (by decreasing) our student suspension rate, which when last reported on the CA Dashboard (18-19) was higher for socio-economically disadvantaged students at KIPP than “all students.” We believe that this action and service also contribute to our local indicator of improving outcomes for "students feeling safe at school," a metric that we'd like to see an increase with our support of social-emotional learning and interventions at school.</p> <p>Social-emotional learning practices require a larger, whole-school initiative to truly support those that are most vulnerable, as all students, staff, and leaders participate in shared learning and actions (morning community circles, restorative practices, and chants, restorative attendance conferences, etc.). Given the high percentage of unduplicated students at KIPP, these practices will contribute to improving outcomes for our most vulnerable students, along with all students at the school.</p>	\$19,965.60	Yes
2.5	Mental health clinician:	<p>Students who experience poverty often experience a greater degree of adverse experiences, which can contribute to mental health concerns. Issues such as housing instability, violence, and food insecurity, could result in long-term mental health consequences. Many of these issues have been exacerbated by the COVID-19 crisis. Dedicated mental health clinician(s) at the school provide culturally appropriate school-based mental health services in the forms of individual, group, and family treatment, therapeutic case management, crisis intervention,</p>	\$93,613.45	Yes

# DRAFT

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		<p>intake assessment, clinical evaluation, and consultation services for the school community. As schools return to in-person learning, there will be a need to assess student's well-being as part of implementing a multi-tiered system of support (MTSS).</p> <p>This is a new action in the LCAP. A tiered support system offers specific supports based on need. All students will benefit from the implementation of an MTSS and mental health clinicians to support this work. However, KIPPs students facing additional challenges, such as housing instability, violence, and food insecurity may require higher tier interventions which may include services supported or coordinated by the mental health clinician.</p>		
2.6	College focus:	<p>While in high school, KTC provides support to students and families in the following areas: academic advising, standardized testing preparation, college tours, college admissions and application assistance, scholarship support, and financial literacy. The focus on both students and families is intentional, due to the high number of first generation college experiences at KIPP. These services are in addition to college counselors available at the school.</p> <p>This prior LCAP action will be continued in the current LCAP due to alignment with success on the college/career readiness indicator on the CA Dashboard. KIPP is committed to ensuring that students lead choice-filled lives, and that all students have met or exceeded the requirements to succeed in college and beyond.</p> <p>Given the high percentage of unduplicated pupils at KIPP, additional support from a KTC advisor increases the services to our unduplicated student population.</p>	\$0.00	Yes

# DRAFT

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.7	College counselors:	<p>KIPP prepares students for college through increased college-focused services led by counselors at the school, including college focused courses, college testing preparation, and organized college campus tours. Counselors also support student specific caseloads and given the number of high need students at the school, the services include techniques that ensure all students have the skills, resources, and guidance that they need to navigate the road to college and beyond. The school employs 2 counselors, which is above the state's current average.</p> <p>This prior LCAP action will be continued in the current LCAP due to the action aligning with the college/career readiness and graduation rate indicator on the CA Dashboard. This work remains critical given the COVID-19 crisis and the alarming trend that nationally, fewer low-income students are applying for FASFA. KIPP is committed to ensuring that students lead choice-filled lives, and that all students have met or exceeded the requirements to succeed in college and beyond. Continued commitment to this action will ensure KIPP can support students to and through college as we recover from the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>Given the high percentage of unduplicated pupils at KIPP, additional support from college counselors increases the services to our unduplicated student population.</p>	\$203,833.12	Yes
2.8	Mental Health for All		\$13,235.60	Yes
2.9	CSI		\$0.00	
2.10	A-G Completion Improvement:	The A-G Completion Improvement Grant Program was established for the purpose of providing additional supports to local educational	\$0.00	



# DRAFT

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		<p>agencies to help increase the number of California high school pupils, particularly unduplicated pupils, who graduate from high school with A-G eligibility. During the pandemic, KIPP students who were identified as qualifying for low income services faced disproportionate academic outcomes, resulting in a need for more make up opportunities as we returned to in-person learning. With the A-G grant, KIPP will fund credit recovery options that are A-G aligned.</p> <p>This is a new action in the LCAP and has been established due to the allocation of targeted funding for unduplicated pupils. This action reduces barriers for KIPP's highest need students in accessing credit recovery options and staying on track for on-time graduation.</p>		

## Goal Analysis [2022-23]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The planned actions and services included in Goal 2: all students and families will be engaged with the school community, have been implemented or partially implemented in year two (2) of the three (3) year LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no material differences between the budgeted expenditures and the estimated actual expenditures and/or planned percentages of improved services and estimated actual percentages of improved services.

\*Material difference defined as 1% or more of the school's total operating budget.

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

School leader is currently in consultation with school community (staff, students, families, etc.) and will provide a full reflection to the public and KIPP Board at the June board meeting.

# DRAFT

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

There have been no substantive changes to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes or actions at this time. KIPP will continue to seek feedback from all educational partners as we draft the final LCAP for the 2023-24 school year.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# DRAFT

## Goals and Actions

### Goal

Goal #	Description
3	All students will have the spaces, resources and opportunities to achieve.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Broad goal, continuous improvement of school conditions, resources, and materials that support student achievement.

Increase or maintain the rate of students who have access to common core aligned materials.

Increase or maintain the rate of teachers who feel they receive adequate professional development.

Increase or maintain the rate of students that have access to a full and rigorous course schedule.

Ensure that facilities are maintained and in good condition.

Increase or maintain the rate of teachers who are credentialed.

### Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Teacher Resources: Annual teacher survey results: teacher satisfaction with access to current, standards-aligned instructional materials for their classrooms.	KIPP teachers reported 71% satisfaction with access to standards-aligned materials for their classrooms on the 19-20 survey.  Question not surveyed in 20-21 due to COVID-19 pandemic and students participating in distance learning.	KIPP teachers reported 71% satisfaction with student's access to rigorous academic curriculum on the 21-22 school survey.	KIPP teachers reported 63% satisfaction with student's access to rigorous academic curriculum on the 22-23 school survey.		KIPP teachers report 75% or higher satisfaction with access to standards-aligned materials for their classrooms in an annual survey.

# DRAFT

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
	<p>Temporary, alternative metric provide below.</p> <p>KIPP teachers reported 89% satisfaction with student's access to rigorous academic curriculum on the 20-21 school survey.</p>				
<p>Teacher Professional Development:</p> <p>Annual teacher survey results: teacher satisfaction with the school's commitment to improving teacher's instructional practice.</p>	<p>KIPP teachers reported 89% satisfaction with the schools commitment to improving their instructional practice in the 20-21 school year.</p>	<p>KIPP teachers reported 65% satisfaction with the school's commitment to improving their instructional practice in the 21-22 school year.</p>	<p>KIPP teachers reported 60% satisfaction with the school's commitment to improving their instructional practice in the 22-23 school year.</p>		<p>KIPP teachers report 80% or greater satisfaction with the school's commitment to improving their instructional practice.</p>
<p>Access to Rigorous Courses:</p> <p>Percent of students that have access to a full and robust course schedule as defined by the state.</p>	<p>100% of students were enrolled in a broad and rigorous course schedule as defined by the state.</p>	<p>100% of students were enrolled in a broad and rigorous course schedule as defined by the state.</p>	<p>100% of students were enrolled in a broad and rigorous course schedule as defined by the state.</p>		<p>100% of students will have access to a full and robust course schedule as defined by the state.</p>
<p>Facilities Inspection Tool:</p>	<p>KIPP received a rating of "good" during an annual inspection of the school's major</p>	<p>KIPP received a rating of "fair" during an annual inspection of the school's major</p>	<p>KIPP received a rating of "Fair" during an annual inspection of the school's major</p>		<p>Facilities inspection tool: Facility rating of "fair" or above during the annual inspection</p>

# DRAFT

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Annual inspection of school facilities and major systems by the KIPP Facilities team.	systems by the Real Estate team.	systems by the Real Estate team.	systems by the Facilities team.		of school facilities and major systems by the KIPP Facilities Team
Community Facilities Feedback:  Annual school culture survey results: parents and students satisfaction with the maintenance and cleanliness of the school.	32% of students and 78% of families responded positively when asked about school facility conditions on the 19-20 school survey.  Question not surveyed in 20-21 due to COVID-19 pandemic and students participating in distance learning.	Metric retired after a school year of distance learning. School will rely on the annual FIT to assess school facility conditions.	Metric retired after a school year of distance learning. School will rely on the annual FIT to assess school facility conditions.		70% of students and 70% of families respond positively when asked about school facility conditions.
Teacher Credentialing:  Status of teachers credentialed and teaching core classes.	100% of teachers are credentialed, 9% of teachers (2) are mis-assigned in the 20-21 school year.	85% of teachers are credentialed, 15% of teachers (4) are mis-assigned in the 21-22 school year.	KIPP currently does not have finalized credential data for the 22-23 school year. The LCAP will be updated with this data once available.		100% of core teachers are credentialed and appropriately assigned.
A-G Course Certification:  UC-approved high school courses.	86% of courses offered were A-G certified in the 20-21 school year.	92% of courses offered were A-G certified in the 21-22 school year.	81% of courses offered were A-G certified in the 22-23 school year.		80% or greater of courses will be A-G certified.

# DRAFT

## Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.1	Hiring process:	<p>KIPP implements a rigorous hiring process, which includes paper screening, formal and informal interviews, performance tasks, curricular and teacher materials review, teaching demonstration, and reference checks by a dedicated team. This dedicated team supplements the traditional hiring process by focusing on diverse and equitable hiring practices, with goals to bring in staff that can best support high needs students, and increase teaching staff that self-identify as people of color.</p> <p>This prior LCAP action will be continued in the current LCAP because a qualified, diverse teaching staff contributes to the success of our diverse student population. Top talent recruitment remains a focus in order to get the best teachers in our classrooms working with our unduplicated students. Effective teachers, that are hired only after robust screenings, ensure they will be successful working with our communities to improve the quality of instruction for our unduplicated students.</p>	\$57,447.83	No Yes
3.2	Title I and II, improving academic outcomes through strong, developed teachers:	<p>KIPP teachers receive ongoing observation, coaching, and support from the Assistant Principal to improve outcomes for students, with a focus on students identified as needing additional interventions. This development and coaching is customized, supporting both the students and the teachers' growth and development. Strong, supportive and prepared teachers are critical to student achievement.</p> <p>Through targeted professional development led by the school's leadership, effective, evidence-based educational strategies are taught and modeled that close the achievement gap and enable high needs students to meet the state's challenging academic standards. Teachers receive regular, actionable and meaningful professional development and opportunities to demonstrate growth. These opportunities help to develop our leadership pipeline, build continuity</p>	\$105,203.00	Yes

# DRAFT

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		<p>in teaching and leadership for our school communities, and provide high-needs and minority students greater access to effective educators.</p> <p>This prior LCAP action will be continued in the current LCAP. KIPP's instructional support and development model includes additional Assistant Principals and Content Specialists in order to provide the level of coaching and development need to improve academic outcomes for students. Providing targeted development for teachers with these additional resources in order to attain higher academic achievement contributes to increasing and improving services for the school's unduplicated student population.</p>		
3.3	Credentialing specialist:	<p>KIPP employs personnel responsible for supporting the adequate credentialing of our teachers. Ensuring that our teachers are credentialed ensures that our students are prepared to go to and through college. This dedicated resource supports our school and teachers at KIPP. This support includes but is not limited to transition, housing and onboarding assistance. This additional resource ensures that teachers are always prepared to offer the highest quality instruction to all students.</p> <p>This prior LCAP action will be continued in the current LCAP because it is in alignment with our action to support the strong onboarding and the continuation of teaching staff in our KIPP schools.</p>	\$50,044.50	No
3.4	Learning Resources:	<p>KIPP prioritizes the need for excellent resources and provides teachers with the supplies, learning tools and technology that they need to teach common core curriculum in the classroom successfully. The annual selection process for these materials requires a robust, in-depth review of student performance data by subgroup and can include many learning modalities, including reading materials, text</p>	\$159,587.15	No

# DRAFT

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		<p>books, planners, classroom equipment, testing materials and more. Only materials that can meet the needs of all students will be selected and used to bring all student subgroups to the highest level of achievement.</p> <p>This prior LCAP action will be continued in the current LCAP because all students deserve high quality resources for learning and attaining proficiency in the state's common core curriculum.</p>		
<b>3.5</b>	More teacher time:	<p>KIPP is dedicated to the "more time in schools" model to best support high need students. All teachers commit to this increased instructional time, and we offer competitive teacher salaries to attract a capable and diverse staff that commit to this approach.</p> <p>This prior LCAP action will be continued in the current LCAP because it is aligned with our "more time" KIPP model. Expanded learning time initiatives have demonstrated great promise in improving academic outcomes among students who are most likely to fall behind. This additional and focused time will help struggling students engage more fully and reduce the possibility of dropping out. Opportunities for extended instructional time with students contribute to increasing services for the school's unduplicated student population.</p>	\$189,818.71	Yes
<b>3.6</b>	Cleaning staff, services and supplies:	<p>KIPP has always prioritized creating a safe, clean and welcoming learning environment. In response to the COVID pandemic KIPP has developed cleaning and disinfecting procedures that are standard across all KIPP schools in the Bay Area, and in alignment with CDC health and safety standards including: nightly, and twice daily sanitizing and disinfecting of high touch or high use areas. KIPP has invested in additional equipment including electro-static sprayers, disinfectant wipes and hand washing station supplies, and in</p>	\$83,472.35	No



# DRAFT

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		<p>additional training for janitorial staff to ensure that high cleaning standards are met.</p> <p>This prior LCAP action will be continued in the current LCAP because all students deserve clean spaces where they can learn and thrive. The action has been adapted to include additional cleaning, sanitizing and disinfecting investments due to the ongoing COVID pandemic.</p>		
3.7	Facilities maintenance, repairs and safety procedures:	<p>KIPP invests in the maintenance (repairs, security, rent, utilities) of school buildings to keep them at the standard that all students deserve. KIPP has procedures for emergencies to ensure the safety of students and staff -- including a comprehensive school safety plan that includes fire, earthquake, intruder and infectious diseases procedures. Regular maintenance reviews ensure that issues are identified and addressed as quickly as possible.</p> <p>During the COVID pandemic, additional investments have been made to assess and improve ventilation within the school building and may include: HVAC improvements or maintenance, window improvements or maintenance and/or other air filtration systems that operate in classrooms or common spaces. Other ongoing COVID investments include additional hand-washing and sanitizing stations, site consultations for safe classroom equipment and furniture setup, as well as consultations for school level routines that minimize the possibility of COVID spread and infection.</p> <p>This prior LCAP action will be continued in the current LCAP because all students deserve safe and well maintained spaces where they can learn and thrive. The action has been adapted to include additional investments due to the ongoing COVID pandemic.</p>	\$506,358.05	No

# DRAFT

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.8	KIPP teachers:	<p>Teachers have the most direct, sustained contact with students. Research suggests that, among school-related factors, teachers matter most. When it comes to student performance on reading and math tests, a teacher is estimated to have two to three times the impact of any other school factor, including services, or facilities. Therefore, teachers who work at KIPP must have strong and positive recommendations, evidence of strong connections with students and their families, must be team players, flexible, smart, community service oriented, embody and exemplify the values of the school, and be committed to the vision and mission of KIPP. KIPP is committed to providing high quality teachers by offering competitive teacher salaries that allow us to attract a capable and diverse staff.</p> <p>This is a new action in the LCAP and has been added in an effort to provide a more complete picture of the school's expenses and budget, as requested by district authorizers. Teaching staff and salaries are the largest and most critical expense at KIPP.</p>	\$1,780,908.35	No
3.9	Personal learning technology devices:	<p>KIPP is committed to continuing access to technology for our students. Every student will receive a Chromebook which will allow them to participate in both in-person learning and independent study if necessary. KIPP families will continue to be surveyed about personal devices, access to the internet, and comfort with navigating technology. All KIPP families that do not have access to internet service will be offered a KIPP wifi hotspot. Families will receive ongoing training materials to help them gain comfort with their technology, personalized learning programs and/or online tools.</p> <p>This is a continued action in the LCAP. KIPP maintained a 1:1 technology ratio for students even as we returned to in-person learning. Initial surveys of students and families during the COVID pandemic showed higher technology needs for our unduplicated student population, and therefore the continuation of robust technology support will serve to increase and improve services for this student group.</p>	\$131,153.96	Yes

# DRAFT

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.10	COVID health and safety:	<p>KIPP’s focus has been on reducing risk by layering strategies that protect the health and safety of our students and staff including COVID testing, personalized protective equipment, enhanced hygiene and cleaning, physical distancing, cohorting students and most importantly robust policies and procedures for school routines that prevent unnecessary exposure to COVID.</p> <p>This is a continued action in the LCAP in response to the ongoing COVID pandemic and because all students deserve healthy spaces where they can learn and thrive.</p>	\$2,000.00	No
3.11	Support for new teachers:	<p>Teachers that are new to KIPP receive an additional week of dedicated professional development and onboarding to best prepare them to support students. Additional supports include high-quality dedicated coaching where new teachers have the opportunity to apply what they have learned, gain hands-on experience in real classrooms, and to work directly with diverse learners in a supervised context.</p> <p>This is a continued action in the LCAP. Additional, high-quality professional development and onboarding time to prepare new to KIPP teaching staff serves to improve services for the school's unduplicated population.</p>	\$45,016.49	Yes
3.12	Expanded enrichment opportunities:	<p>KIPP schools serve as safe and accessible spaces for our students and families. Morning on-campus activities may include access to breakfast, teacher and staff support, as well early drop-off for our busy families. After school activities may include enrichments, clubs, tutoring, sports, or other extracurricular activities. Expanded enrichment has demonstrated great promise in improving academic</p>	\$79,418.37	Yes

# DRAFT

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		<p>outcomes among students who are most likely to fall behind. These additional opportunities for participation will help struggling students engage more fully and reduce the possibility of dropping out.</p> <p>Opportunities for extended enrichment time with students contribute to increasing services for the school's unduplicated student population.</p>		
3.13	KIPP contributions:	<p>The KIPP 401(k) Plan is maintained for the benefit of the employees of KIPP Northern California. It is designed to help employees save for the future by making 401(k) contributions on a tax-advantaged basis. It also provides matching contributions funded by KIPP Northern California to help employee savings grow over time. For employees who make 401(k) salary deferral contributions, KIPP Northern California makes matching contributions to the account based on the 401(k) contributions each pay period. KIPP 401(k) matching contributions are supplemental and serve as a way to support and retain high-quality teaching talent in the competitive Bay Area market. During a period where many districts reduced roles, cut benefits or other services offered to staff, KIPP maintained normal hiring and return practices, and has retained the discretionary 401(k) matching option at 100% of the 4% employee contribution.</p> <p>This is a new action and service in the LCAP that supports teacher retention strategies.</p>	\$85,935.88	Yes
3.14	Continuity of learning:	<p>Substitute teachers ensure continuity of learning for students when a regular teacher is absent. It is critical that KIPP teachers know they can call out if they're feeling sick or struggling with their mental health or other personal issues. Effective substitutes make teachers feel confident that their class is in good hands when they're absent. KIPP faced many of the same issues as other schools and districts in finding available substitutes due to shortages in educational roles. However,</p>	\$87,341.00	Yes

# DRAFT

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		<p>our prior established and positive relationships with Scoot and Swing allowed the school to access substitutes as quickly as possible and relieve any teachers needing support. Additionally, KIPP teachers and other curricular staff employed at the school were provided the option of stipends to cover classes and support colleagues when they were unavailable to teach. This in-house teaching substitution provided important continuity for students while also financially rewarding teachers for their additional work and support of student learning.</p> <p>This is a new action and service in the LCAP that supports teacher retention strategies.</p>		
3.15	Mental health care for all:	<p>KIPP’s most valuable resource is people. The work, while incredibly rewarding and impactful, is also demanding. The past few years have been particularly challenging and stressful. Lived experience and research bears out the challenges educators face. Access to mental health services can be difficult and an equity issue. KIPP strives to be a pioneer in advancing educational equity. As part of that work, KIPP is continually seeking ways to better support and provide resources for staff. “Mental Health for All” is focused on supporting the mental health of KIPP staff through holistic new resources, supports, and training. Staff receive access to fast, dependable connections to a mental health professionals for benefit-eligible staff and dependents, as well as a learning platform through Lyra Health; 12 therapy sessions annually for benefit-eligible staff and dependents at no cost; opportunities for input through an organization-wide survey to inform how to better integrate mental health into KIPP’s people culture strategy; role-based training for all leaders across the region; and the formation of a region-wide Mental Health Workgroup.</p> <p>This is a continued action/service in the LCAP after a successful pilot in the 2022-23 school year. This action/service is intended to support teachers in their professional and personal endeavors, while also aiming to retain quality teachers at higher rates year over year. Increasing retention at KIPP schools, where the percentage of</p>		Yes

# DRAFT

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		students with high needs is significantly higher than the state average, will ensure continuity of learning and development for students that are disproportionately impacted by high teacher turnover.		

## Goal Analysis [2022-23]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The planned actions and services included in Goal 3: all students will have the spaces, resources and opportunities to achieve, have been implemented or partially implemented in year two (2) of the three (3) year LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no material differences between the budgeted expenditures and the estimated actual expenditures and/or planned percentages of improved services and estimated actual percentages of improved services.

\*Material difference defined as 1% or more of the school's total operating budget.

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

School leader is currently in consultation with school community (staff, students, families, etc.) and will provide a full reflection to the public and KIPP Board at the June board meeting.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

There have been no substantive changes to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes or actions at this time. KIPP will continue to seek feedback from all educational partners as we draft the final LCAP for the 2023-24 school year.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# DRAFT

## Goals and Actions

### Goal

Goal #	Description
4	

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

### Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24

### Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing

### Goal Analysis [2022-23]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

# DRAFT

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**



# DRAFT

## Goals and Actions

### Goal

Goal #	Description
5	

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

### Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24

### Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing

### Goal Analysis [2022-23]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

# DRAFT

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year’s actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year’s actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# DRAFT

## Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2023-24]

Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional LCFF Concentration Grant (15 percent)
1,044,645	\$106,106

### Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
20.46%	0.00%	\$0.00	20.46%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

### Required Descriptions

For each action being provided to an entire school, or across the entire school district or county office of education (COE), an explanation of (1) how the needs of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students were considered first, and (2) how these actions are effective in meeting the goals for these students.

KIPP’s primary mission is to improve the educational opportunities for students in low-income areas and for minority subgroups. For each action and service offered schoolwide, the needs of the most vulnerable were considered first, through a review of student testing results, student and family surveys, and community input. KIPP provides increased and improved services on a schoolwide basis due to the high number of unduplicated students served. These services are principally directed to and effective in meeting our LCAP goals. The allocation of this funding schoolwide directly impacts the services offered to unduplicated students.

A description of how services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students are being increased or improved by the percentage required.

KIPP holds an extended day to increase instructional opportunities in English learner instruction, ELA, Math, and other core subjects to continue closing any educational achievement gaps for unduplicated students. Due to an extended day and increased instructional opportunities, expenditures for daily services and teacher salaries increase. The additional funding received will help make this “more time” model more financially stable and allow KIPP to continue to offer increased services to unduplicated students.

# DRAFT

Additionally, services are improved through strong professional development that KIPP designs internally to support high-needs students. Services are increased through services and staff that promote strong attendance and belonging at the school; through additional regional office staff (advocacy and community engagement, data, human resources, talent, operations, facilities) who increase efficiency and allow schools to focus on the academic success of high-needs students; and through a focus on strong, safe school environments where social-emotional learning, restorative justice, and mental health services are implemented schoolwide to be most effective and supportive of high-needs students.

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

KIPP will be providing competitive 401(k) contributions for staff, stipends for teachers who provide class coverage and free mental health services for employees and their dependents in an effort to improve teacher retention and provide greater continuity of learning for KIPP students.

<b>Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students</b>	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students	N/A	TBD
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students	N/A	TBD

## 2023-24 Total Expenditures Table

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	\$3,909,267.83	\$369,448.47		\$274,193.58	\$4,552,909.88	\$3,470,413.16	\$1,082,496.72

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds
1	1.1	Support for Multilingual Learners:	English Learners	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$83,580.10	\$83,580.10
1	1.2	Special education:	Students with Disabilities	\$451,322.37	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$451,322.37
1	1.3	Innovation and personalized learning:	All	\$59,060.53	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$59,060.53
1	1.4	Professional development:	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$44,127.42	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$75,027.48	\$119,154.90
1	1.5	Title III: ML coach and supplemental professional development:	English Learners	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,383.00	\$10,383.00
1	1.6	Academic data visualization and analysis:	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$37,713.22	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,713.22
1	1.7			\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2	2.1	Parental involvement and engagement:	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$56,716.05	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$56,716.05
2	2.2	Improve attendance:	Foster Youth Low Income	\$13,554.79	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$13,554.79
2	2.3	Communication resources:	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$27,071.51	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$27,071.51
2	2.4	Improving school climate:	Foster Youth Low Income	\$19,965.60	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$19,965.60
2	2.5	Mental health clinician:	Foster Youth Low Income	\$0.00	\$93,613.45	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$93,613.45

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds
2	2.6	College focus:	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2	2.7	College counselors:	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$0.00	\$203,833.12	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$203,833.12
2	2.8	Mental Health for All	Low Income	\$13,235.60	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$13,235.60
2	2.9	CSI		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2	2.10	A-G Completion Improvement:		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3	3.1	Hiring process:	All English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$57,447.83	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$57,447.83
3	3.2	Title I and II, improving academic outcomes through strong, developed teachers:	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$105,203.00	\$105,203.00
3	3.3	Credentialing specialist:	All	\$50,044.50	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$50,044.50
3	3.4	Learning Resources:	All	\$159,587.15	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$159,587.15
3	3.5	More teacher time:	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$189,818.71	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$189,818.71
3	3.6	Cleaning staff, services and supplies:	All	\$83,472.35	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$83,472.35
3	3.7	Facilities maintenance, repairs and safety procedures:	All	\$436,356.15	\$70,001.90	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$506,358.05
3	3.8	KIPP teachers:	All	\$1,780,908.35	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,780,908.35
3	3.9	Personal learning technology devices:	Foster Youth Low Income	\$131,153.96	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$131,153.96
3	3.10	COVID health and safety:	All	\$0.00	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,000.00
3	3.11	Support for new teachers:	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$45,016.49	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$45,016.49

# DRAFT

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds
3	3.12	Expanded enrichment opportunities:	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$79,418.37	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$79,418.37
3	3.13	KIPP contributions:	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$85,935.88	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$85,935.88
3	3.14	Continuity of learning:	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$87,341.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$87,341.00
3	3.15	Mental health care for all:	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income					

## 2023-24 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
5,106,165	1,044,645	20.46%	0.00%	20.46%	\$888,516.43	0.00%	17.40 %	<b>Total:</b>	\$888,516.43
								<b>LEA-wide Total:</b>	\$888,516.43
								<b>Limited Total:</b>	\$0.00
								<b>Schoolwide Total:</b>	\$0.00

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	1.1	Support for Multilingual Learners:	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	All Schools	\$0.00	
1	1.4	Professional development:	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$44,127.42	
1	1.5	Title III: ML coach and supplemental professional development:	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	All Schools	\$0.00	
1	1.6	Academic data visualization and analysis:	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$37,713.22	
1	1.7		Yes	LEA-wide			\$0.00	
2	2.1	Parental involvement and engagement:	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$56,716.05	



Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
2	2.2	Improve attendance:	Yes	LEA-wide	Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$13,554.79	
2	2.3	Communication resources:	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$27,071.51	
2	2.4	Improving school climate:	Yes	LEA-wide	Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$19,965.60	
2	2.5	Mental health clinician:	Yes	LEA-wide	Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$0.00	
2	2.6	College focus:	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$0.00	
2	2.7	College counselors:	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$0.00	
2	2.8	Mental Health for All	Yes	LEA-wide	Low Income	All Schools	\$13,235.60	
3	3.1	Hiring process:	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$57,447.83	
3	3.2	Title I and II, improving academic outcomes through strong, developed teachers:	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$0.00	
3	3.5	More teacher time:	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$189,818.71	
3	3.9	Personal learning technology devices:	Yes	LEA-wide	Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$131,153.96	
3	3.11	Support for new teachers:	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$45,016.49	
3	3.12	Expanded enrichment opportunities:	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$79,418.37	
3	3.13	KIPP contributions:	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$85,935.88	

# DRAFT

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
3	3.14	Continuity of learning:	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$87,341.00	
3	3.15	Mental health care for all:	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		

# DRAFT

## 2022-23 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds)
<b>Totals</b>	\$4,626,209.78	\$0.00

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	1.1	Support for Multilingual Learners:	Yes	\$56,352.59	
1	1.2	Special education:	No	\$494,186.79	
1	1.3	Innovation and personalized learning:	No	\$60,995.42	
1	1.4	Professional development:	Yes	\$162,048.48	
1	1.5	Title III: ML coach and supplemental professional development:	Yes	\$8,093.00	
1	1.6	Academic data visualization and analysis:	Yes	\$31,784.11	
1	1.7		Yes	\$0.00	
2	2.1	Parental involvement and engagement:	Yes	\$59,418.43	
2	2.2	Improve attendance:	Yes	\$13,834.00	
2	2.3	Communication resources:	Yes	\$22,307.61	

# DRAFT

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
2	2.4	Improving school climate:	Yes	\$16,569.88	
2	2.5	Mental health clinician:	Yes	\$79,692.00	
2	2.6	College focus:	Yes	\$0.00	
2	2.7	College counselors:	Yes	\$205,848.48	
2	2.8	A-G Completion Improvement:	Yes	\$12,062.00	
2	2.9			\$0.00	
2	2.10			\$12,062.00	
3	3.1	Hiring process:	No Yes	\$47,098.95	
3	3.2	Title I and II, improving academic outcomes through strong, developed teachers:	Yes	\$123,699.30	
3	3.3	Credentialing specialist:	No	\$43,536.90	
3	3.4	Learning Resources:	No	\$165,670.21	
3	3.5	More teacher time:	Yes	\$212,195.23	
3	3.6	Cleaning staff, services and supplies:	No	\$72,170.89	

# DRAFT

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
3	3.7	Facilities maintenance, repairs and safety procedures:	No	\$349,106.07	
3	3.8	KIPP teachers:	No	\$1,988,647.08	
3	3.9	Personal learning technology devices:	Yes	\$106,811.87	
3	3.10	COVID health and safety:	No	\$828.20	
3	3.11	Support for new teachers:	Yes	\$50,686.86	
3	3.12	Expanded enrichment opportunities:	Yes	\$90,941.40	
3	3.13	KIPP contributions:	Yes	\$102,221.03	
3	3.14	Continuity of learning:	Yes	\$37,341.00	
3	3.15	Mental health care for all:	Yes		

## 2022-23 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
	\$868,780.76	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
1	1.1	Support for Multilingual Learners:	Yes				
1	1.4	Professional development:	Yes	\$77,570.39			
1	1.5	Title III: ML coach and supplemental professional development:	Yes				
1	1.6	Academic data visualization and analysis:	Yes	\$31,784.11			
1	1.7		Yes				
2	2.1	Parental involvement and engagement:	Yes	\$59,418.43			
2	2.2	Improve attendance:	Yes	\$13,834.00			
2	2.3	Communication resources:	Yes	\$22,307.61			
2	2.4	Improving school climate:	Yes	\$16,569.88			
2	2.5	Mental health clinician:	Yes				
2	2.6	College focus:	Yes				

# DRAFT

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
2	2.7	College counselors:	Yes				
2	2.8	A-G Completion Improvement:	Yes				
3	3.1	Hiring process:	Yes	\$47,098.95			
3	3.2	Title I and II, improving academic outcomes through strong, developed teachers:	Yes				
3	3.5	More teacher time:	Yes	\$212,195.23			
3	3.9	Personal learning technology devices:	Yes	\$106,811.87			
3	3.11	Support for new teachers:	Yes	\$50,686.86			
3	3.12	Expanded enrichment opportunities:	Yes	\$90,941.40			
3	3.13	KIPP contributions:	Yes	\$102,221.03			
3	3.14	Continuity of learning:	Yes	\$37,341.00			
3	3.15	Mental health care for all:	Yes				

# DRAFT

## 2022-23 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
		0%	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%



# DRAFT

## Instructions

[Plan Summary](#)

[Engaging Educational Partners](#)

[Goals and Actions](#)

[Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students](#)

*For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at [lcff@cde.ca.gov](mailto:lcff@cde.ca.gov).*

## Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning (California *Education Code* [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.
- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.
- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because aspects of the LCAP template require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:
  - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).
  - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).
  - Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (EC Section 52064[b][7]).

# DRAFT

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which should: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in *EC* sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2021–22, 2022–23, and 2023–24 school years reflects statutory changes made through Assembly Bill 1840 (Committee on Budget), Chapter 243, Statutes of 2018. These statutory changes enhance transparency regarding expenditures on actions included in the LCAP, including actions that contribute to meeting the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, and to streamline the information presented within the LCAP to make adopted LCAPs more accessible for educational partners and the public.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions that the LEA believes, based on input gathered from educational partners, research, and experience, will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP itself. Additionally, information is included at the beginning of each section emphasizing the purpose that each section serves.

## Plan Summary

### Purpose

# DRAFT

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to provide a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included in the subsequent sections of the LCAP.

## Requirements and Instructions

**General Information** – Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA. For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, or employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information as an LEA wishes to include can enable a reader to more fully understand an LEA's LCAP.

**Reflections: Successes** – Based on a review of performance on the state indicators and local performance indicators included in the Dashboard, progress toward LCAP goals, local self-assessment tools, input from educational partners, and any other information, what progress is the LEA most proud of and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success? This may include identifying specific examples of how past increases or improvements in services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students have led to improved performance for these students.

**Reflections: Identified Need** – Referring to the Dashboard, identify: (a) any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category or any local indicator where the LEA received a “Not Met” or “Not Met for Two or More Years” rating AND (b) any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance. What steps is the LEA planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps? An LEA that is required to include a goal to address one or more consistently low-performing student groups or low-performing schools must identify that it is required to include this goal and must also identify the applicable student group(s) and/or school(s). Other needs may be identified using locally collected data including data collected to inform the self-reflection tools and reporting local indicators on the Dashboard.

**LCAP Highlights** – Identify and briefly summarize the key features of this year's LCAP.

**Comprehensive Support and Improvement** – An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

- **Schools Identified:** Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.
- **Support for Identified Schools:** Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.
- **Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness:** Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

## Engaging Educational Partners

# DRAFT

## Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (*EC* Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Statute and regulations specify the educational partners that school districts and COEs must consult when developing the LCAP: teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units of the LEA, parents, and students. Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the Parent Advisory Committee and, if applicable, to its English Learner Parent Advisory Committee. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Statute requires charter schools to consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in developing the LCAP. The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals and actions.

Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the following web page of the CDE's website: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/re/lc/>.

## Requirements and Instructions

Below is an excerpt from the 2018–19 *Guide for Annual Audits of K–12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting*, which is provided to highlight the legal requirements for engagement of educational partners in the LCAP development process:

### **Local Control and Accountability Plan:**

For county offices of education and school districts only, verify the LEA:

- a) Presented the local control and accountability plan to the parent advisory committee in accordance with Education Code section 52062(a)(1) or 52068(a)(1), as appropriate.
- b) If applicable, presented the local control and accountability plan to the English learner parent advisory committee, in accordance with Education Code section 52062(a)(2) or 52068(a)(2), as appropriate.

# DRAFT

- c) Notified members of the public of the opportunity to submit comments regarding specific actions and expenditures proposed to be included in the local control and accountability plan in accordance with Education Code section 52062(a)(3) or 52068(a)(3), as appropriate.
- d) Held at least one public hearing in accordance with Education Code section 52062(b)(1) or 52068(b)(1), as appropriate.
- e) Adopted the local control and accountability plan in a public meeting in accordance with Education Code section 52062(b)(2) or 52068(b)(2), as appropriate.

**Prompt 1:** “A summary of the process used to engage educational partners and how this engagement was considered before finalizing the LCAP.”

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve educational partners in the development of the LCAP, including, at a minimum, describing how the LEA met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners as applicable to the type of LEA. A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA’s philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.

**Prompt 2:** “A summary of the feedback provided by specific educational partners.”

Describe and summarize the feedback provided by specific educational partners. A sufficient response to this prompt will indicate ideas, trends, or inputs that emerged from an analysis of the feedback received from educational partners.

**Prompt 3:** “A description of the aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by specific input from educational partners.”

A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. The response must describe aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback described in response to Prompt 2. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP. For the purposes of this prompt, “aspects” of an LCAP that may have been influenced by educational partner input can include, but are not necessarily limited to:

- Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
- Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
- Determination of the desired outcome on one or more metrics
- Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
- Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
- Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
- Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions

# DRAFT

- Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated services
- Determination of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
- Determination of material differences in expenditures
- Determination of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
- Determination of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

## Goals and Actions

### Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal should be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

### Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs should consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- **Focus Goal:** A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
- **Broad Goal:** A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- **Maintenance of Progress Goal:** A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics.

# DRAFT

## Focus Goal(s)

**Goal Description:** The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound. An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach. The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

**Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal:** Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal. An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data. LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

## Broad Goal

**Goal Description:** Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal. The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal. The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner. A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

**Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal:** Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

## Maintenance of Progress Goal

**Goal Description:** Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP. Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP. The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

**Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal:** Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

## Required Goals

In general, LEAs have flexibility in determining what goals to include in the LCAP and what those goals will address; however, beginning with the development of the 2022–23 LCAP, LEAs that meet certain criteria are required to include a specific goal in their LCAP.

**Consistently low-performing student group(s) criteria:** An LEA is eligible for Differentiated Assistance for three or more consecutive years based on the performance of the same student group or groups in the Dashboard. A list of the LEAs required to include a goal in the LCAP based on student group performance, and the student group(s) that lead to identification, may be found on the CDE’s Local Control Funding Formula web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.

- **Consistently low-performing student group(s) goal requirement:** An LEA meeting the consistently low-performing student group(s) criteria must include a goal in its LCAP focused on improving the performance of the student group or groups that led to the LEA’s eligibility for Differentiated

# DRAFT

Assistance. This goal must include metrics, outcomes, actions, and expenditures specific to addressing the needs of, and improving outcomes for, this student group or groups. An LEA required to address multiple student groups is not required to have a goal to address each student group; however, each student group must be specifically addressed in the goal. This requirement may not be met by combining this required goal with another goal.

- **Goal Description:** Describe the outcomes the LEA plans to achieve to address the needs of, and improve outcomes for, the student group or groups that led to the LEA's eligibility for Differentiated Assistance.
- **Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal:** Explain why the LEA is required to develop this goal, including identifying the student group(s) that lead to the LEA being required to develop this goal, how the actions and associated metrics included in this goal differ from previous efforts to improve outcomes for the student group(s), and why the LEA believes the actions, metrics, and expenditures included in this goal will help achieve the outcomes identified in the goal description.

**Low-performing school(s) criteria:** The following criteria only applies to a school district or COE with two or more schools; it does not apply to a single-school district. A school district or COE has one or more schools that, for two consecutive years, received the two lowest performance levels on all but one of the state indicators for which the school(s) receive performance levels in the Dashboard and the performance of the "All Students" student group for the LEA is at least one performance level higher in all of those indicators. A list of the LEAs required to include a goal in the LCAP based on school performance, and the school(s) that lead to identification, may be found on the CDE's Local Control Funding Formula web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.

- **Low-performing school(s) goal requirement:** A school district or COE meeting the low-performing school(s) criteria must include a goal in its LCAP focusing on addressing the disparities in performance between the school(s) and the LEA as a whole. This goal must include metrics, outcomes, actions, and expenditures specific to addressing the needs of, and improving outcomes for, the students enrolled at the low-performing school or schools. An LEA required to address multiple schools is not required to have a goal to address each school; however, each school must be specifically addressed in the goal. This requirement may not be met by combining this goal with another goal.
- **Goal Description:** Describe what outcomes the LEA plans to achieve to address the disparities in performance between the students enrolled at the low-performing school(s) and the students enrolled at the LEA as a whole.
- **Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal:** Explain why the LEA is required to develop this goal, including identifying the schools(s) that lead to the LEA being required to develop this goal; how the actions and associated metrics included in this goal differ from previous efforts to improve outcomes for the school(s); and why the LEA believes the actions, metrics, and expenditures included in this goal will help achieve the outcomes for students enrolled at the low-performing school or schools identified in the goal description.

## Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes. LEAs are encouraged to identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that would reflect narrowing of any existing performance gaps.



# DRAFT

Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with this metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2019 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available (e.g., high school graduation rate).

Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS. Because final 2020–21 outcomes on some metrics may not be computable at the time the 2021–24 LCAP is adopted (e.g., graduation rate, suspension rate), the most recent data available may include a point in time calculation taken each year on the same date for comparability purposes.

The baseline data shall remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.

Complete the table as follows:

- **Metric:** Indicate how progress is being measured using a metric.
- **Baseline:** Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2021–22. As described above, the baseline is the most recent data associated with a metric. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above.
- **Year 1 Outcome:** When completing the LCAP for 2022–23, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above.
- **Year 2 Outcome:** When completing the LCAP for 2023–24, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above.
- **Year 3 Outcome:** When completing the LCAP for 2024–25, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above. The 2024–25 LCAP will be the first year in the next three-year cycle. Completing this column will be part of the Annual Update for that year.
- **Desired Outcome for 2023–24:** When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the desired outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the 2023–24 LCAP year.

Timeline for completing the “**Measuring and Reporting Results**” part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for Year 3 (2023–24)
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2021–22</b> .	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2021–22</b> .	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2022–23</b> . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2023–24</b> . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2024–25</b> . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2021–22</b> or when adding a new metric.

The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA’s LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year as applicable to the type of LEA. To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant self-reflection tool for local indicators within the Dashboard.

**Actions:** Enter the action number. Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables. Provide a description of the action. Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the summary tables. Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increase or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No. (**Note:** for each such action offered on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Summary Section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 CCR] Section 15496(b) in the Increased or Improved Services Section of the LCAP).

**Actions for English Learners:** School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant English learner student subgroup must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum, the language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students and professional development activities specific to English learners.

**Actions for Foster Youth:** School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant Foster Youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to Foster Youth students.

**Goal Analysis:**

Enter the LCAP Year.

# DRAFT

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process. This must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.
- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.
- Describe the effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA. In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal. When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.

## Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

### Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA’s description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

### Requirements and Instructions

***Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:*** Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner students.

# DRAFT

**Projected Additional LCFF Concentration Grant (15 percent):** Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in EC Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

**Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

**LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

**LCFF Carryover — Dollar:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero (\$0).

**Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEAs percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

## **Required Descriptions:**

**For each action being provided to an entire school, or across the entire school district or COE, an explanation of (1) how the needs of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students were considered first, and (2) how these actions are effective in meeting the goals for these students.**

For each action included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement for unduplicated pupils and provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis, the LEA must include an explanation consistent with 5 CCR Section 15496(b). For any such actions continued into the 2021–24 LCAP from the 2017–2020 LCAP, the LEA must determine whether or not the action was effective as expected, and this determination must reflect evidence of outcome data or actual implementation to date.

**Principally Directed and Effective:** An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students when the LEA explains how:

- It considers the needs, conditions, or circumstances of its unduplicated pupils;
- The action, or aspect(s) of the action (including, for example, its design, content, methods, or location), is based on these considerations; and
- The action is intended to help achieve an expected measurable outcome of the associated goal.

As such, the response provided in this section may rely on a needs assessment of unduplicated students.

# DRAFT

Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient. Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increase or improve services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For example, if an LEA determines that low-income students have a significantly lower attendance rate than the attendance rate for all students, it might justify LEA-wide or schoolwide actions to address this area of need in the following way:

After assessing the needs, conditions, and circumstances of our low-income students, we learned that the attendance rate of our low-income students is 7 percent lower than the attendance rate for all students. (Needs, Conditions, Circumstances [Principally Directed])

In order to address this condition of our low-income students, we will develop and implement a new attendance program that is designed to address some of the major causes of absenteeism, including lack of reliable transportation and food, as well as a school climate that does not emphasize the importance of attendance. Goal N, Actions X, Y, and Z provide additional transportation and nutritional resources as well as a districtwide educational campaign on the benefits of high attendance rates. (Contributing Action[s])

These actions are being provided on an LEA-wide basis and we expect/hope that all students with less than a 100 percent attendance rate will benefit. However, because of the significantly lower attendance rate of low-income students, and because the actions meet needs most associated with the chronic stresses and experiences of a socio-economically disadvantaged status, we expect that the attendance rate for our low-income students will increase significantly more than the average attendance rate of all other students. (Measurable Outcomes [Effective In])

**COEs and Charter Schools:** Describe how actions included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement on an LEA-wide basis are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities as described above. In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

## For School Districts Only:

### Actions Provided on an LEA-Wide Basis:

**Unduplicated Percentage > 55 percent:** For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of 55 percent or more, describe how these actions are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities as described above.

**Unduplicated Percentage < 55 percent:** For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent, describe how these actions are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities. Also describe how the actions **are the most effective use of the funds** to meet these goals for its unduplicated pupils. Provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

### Actions Provided on a Schoolwide Basis:

# DRAFT

School Districts must identify in the description those actions being funded and provided on a schoolwide basis, and include the required description supporting the use of the funds on a schoolwide basis.

**For schools with 40 percent or more enrollment of unduplicated pupils:** Describe how these actions are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities.

**For school districts expending funds on a schoolwide basis at a school with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils:** Describe how these actions are principally directed to and how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet its goals for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students in the state and any local priorities.

## **A description of how services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students are being increased or improved by the percentage required.**

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR Section 15496, describe how services provided for unduplicated pupils are increased or improved by at least the percentage calculated as compared to the services provided for all students in the LCAP year. To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis or provided on a limited basis to unduplicated students. A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students. This description must address how these action(s) are expected to result in the required proportional increase or improvement in services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services the LEA provides to all students for the relevant LCAP year.

For any action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. See the instructions for determining the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for information on calculating the Percentage of Improved Services.

## **A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.**

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in EC Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

# DRAFT

An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.

Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.

In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA. The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA. The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA. The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA. The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

## Action Tables

Complete the Data Entry Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. With the exception of the Data Entry Table, the word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)

# DRAFT

- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2022–23 LCAP, 2022–23 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2021–22 will be the current LCAP Year.

## Data Entry Table

The Data Entry Table may be included in the LCAP as adopted by the local governing board or governing body, but is not required to be included. In the Data Entry Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year:** Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount of LCFF funding the LEA estimates it will receive for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant Program and the Home to School Transportation Program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8).

See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF apportionment calculations.

- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will receive on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover —



# DRAFT

Percentage. This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.

- **Goal #:** Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #:** Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title:** Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s):** Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type "Yes" if the action **is** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services.
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
  - **Scope:** The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.
  - **Unduplicated Student Group(s):** Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
  - **Location:** Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span:** Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."
- **Total Personnel:** Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel:** This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.

# DRAFT

- **LCFF Funds:** Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
  - **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- **Other State Funds:** Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Local Funds:** Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Federal Funds:** Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds:** This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.
  - As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Service for the action.

## Contributing Actions Table

# DRAFT

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses.

## Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

## Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.
- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
  - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been \$169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of \$169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

## LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount of LCFF funding the LEA estimates it will receive for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant Program and the Home to School Transportation Program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8).

# DRAFT

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

## Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

### Contributing Actions Table

- 4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column
- 5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services
  - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column
- Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)
  - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

### Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

- 6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants
  - This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- 4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)
  - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)

# DRAFT

- 7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)
- Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)
  - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4)
- 5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column
- 8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column
- Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
  - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8)

## **LCFF Carryover Table**

- 10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)
  - This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.
- 11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)
  - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).
- 12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)
  - If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

# DRAFT

- 13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
  - This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education  
January 2022